

# Fixed Point Theorem in 2 – Metric spaces of implicit Relations

Rajesh Shrivastava<sup>1</sup>, Neha Jain<sup>2</sup>, K. Qureshi<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Deptt .of Mathematics, Govt. Science and comm. College Banazeer Bhopal( M.P) India

<sup>2</sup>Research Scholar, Govt. Science and comm. College Banazeer Bhopal( M.P) India

<sup>3</sup>Additional Director, Higher Education Deptt. Govt. of M.P., Bhopal (M.P) India

## Email address:

capricone.neha@gmail.com(N. Jain)

## To cite this article:

Rajesh Shrivastava, Neha Jain, K. Qureshi. Fixed Point Theorem in 2 – Metric Spaces of Implicit Relations. *American Journal of Applied Mathematics*. Vol. 1, No. 4, 2013, pp. 44-48. doi: 10.11648/j.ajam.20130104.12

**Abstract:** In this paper present on Fixed point Theorem in 2-metric spaces .A concept which has been in focus recent times. The result is supported with an example.

**Keywords:** Metric Spaces, Fixed Points, Complete Metric Spaces, Cauchy Sequence

## 1. Introduction

Theorem: -

let ( X ,d) and ( Y ,ρ)be a complete metric space .if T:X→Y and S :Y →X satisfying the Conditions :

### 1.1. [Fisher [1]]

$$\begin{aligned} d(Sy, STx) &\leq c \max\{d(x, Sy), d(x, STx), \rho(y, Tx)\} \\ \rho(Tx, TSy) &\leq c \max\{\rho(y, Tx), \rho(y, TSy), d(x, Sy)\} \end{aligned}$$

for all x in X and y in Y, where  $0 \leq c < 1$ ,

### 1.2. [Popa [3]]

$$\begin{aligned} d^2(Sy, STx) &\leq c_1 \max\{\rho(y, Tx)d(x, Sy), \rho(y, TSy) \\ &\quad d(x, STx), d(x, Sy)d(x, STx)\} \\ \rho^2(Tx, TSy) &\leq c_2 \max\{d(x, Sy) \rho(y, Tx), d(x, STx) \\ &\quad \rho(y, TSy), \rho(y, Tx) \rho(y, TSy)\} \end{aligned}$$

for all x in X and y in Y, where  $0 \leq c_1, c_2 < 1$ .

### 1.3. [(Nešić[2])]

$$\begin{aligned} M_1(x, y) &= \{d^p(x, Sy), d^p(x, STx), \rho^p(y, Tx)\} \\ M_2(x, y) &= \{\rho^p(y, Tx), \rho^p(y, TSy), d^p(x, Sy)\} \end{aligned}$$

for all x in X, y in Y and  $p = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

let  $R^+$  be the set of nonnegative real numbers, and let

$F_i : R^+ \rightarrow R^+$  be a mapping such that

$F_i(0) = 0$  and  $F_i$  is continuous at 0 for  $i = 1, 2$ . If T and S satisfying the inequalities

$$d^p(Sy, STx) \leq c_1 \max M_1(x, y) + F_1(\min M_1(x, y))$$

$$\rho^p(Tx, TSy) \leq c_2 \max M_2(x, y) + F_2(\min M_2(x, y))$$

for all x in X and y in Y, where  $0 \leq c_1, c_2 < 1$ .

Then ST has a unique fixed point z in X and TS has a unique fixed point w in Y.

Futher,  $Tz = w$  and  $Sw = z$ .

## 2. Main Results

The theorem that we are attempting to prove generalizes that Fisher [1], Nešić[2], Popa [3]theorem using an implicit relations. Let  $\Phi_3^{(m)}$  be the set of continuous functions with 3 variables

$\varphi: [0, \infty)^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  satisfying the properties:

a.  $\varphi$  is non decreasing in  $t_1, t_2, t_3$ .

b.  $\varphi(t, t, t) \leq t^m \quad m \in \mathbb{N}$

*Some examples of such functions are as follows:*

Example 2.1  $\psi(t_1, t_2, t_3) = \min\{t_1^p, t_2^p, t_3^p\}$

Example 2.2  $\psi(t_1, t_2, t_3) = \min\{t_1 t_2, t_1 t_3, t_2 t_3\}$

Example 2.3  $\psi(t_1, t_2, t_3) = \min\{t_1, t_2, t_3\}$

Example 2.4  $\varphi(t_1, t_2, t_3) = \max\{t_1^p, t_2^p, t_3^p\}$ ,  
with  $m = p$

Example 2.5  $\varphi(t_1, t_2, t_3) = \max\{t_1, t_2, t_3\}$ ,  
with  $m = 1$  etc.

Let F be a set of continuous functions  $F: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  with  $F(0) = 0$  (for example  $F(t) = t^q, q > 0$ ).

### 3. Main theorem

#### Theorem 3.1

Let  $(X, d)$ ,  $(Y, \rho)$  be two complete metric spaces and  $T: X \rightarrow Y$ ,  $S: Y \rightarrow X$  two mappings. Let  $\emptyset \in \Phi_3^{(m)}$ ,  $\psi_i \in \Psi_3$ ,  $F_i \in f$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . if for some  $k \in [0, 1)$ , the following inequalities are satisfied :

$$d^m(Sy, STx) \leq k\varphi_1(d(x, STx), \rho(y, Tx)) + F_1(\psi_1(d(x, STx), \rho(y, Tx))) \dots \dots \dots (1)$$

$$\rho^m(Tx, TSy) \leq k\varphi_2(\rho(y, TSy), d(x, Sy)) + F_2(\psi_2(\rho(y, TSy), d(x, Sy))) \dots \dots \dots (2)$$

for all  $x \in X$ ,  $y \in Y$  and some  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ , then  $ST$  has a unique fixed point  $\alpha \in X$  and  $TS$  has a unique fixed point  $\beta \in Y$ . Further  $T_\alpha \in \beta$  and  $S_\beta \in \alpha$ .

**Proof :-** let  $x_0 \in X$  be an arbitrary point. we define the sequences  $(x_n)$  and  $(y_n)$  in  $X$  and  $Y$  respectively as follows :

$$x_n = (ST)^n x_0, \quad y_n = Tx_{n-1}, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Let us proof that the sequences  $(x_n)$  and  $(y_n)$  are Cauchy sequences. We assume that  $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$  and  $y_n \neq y_{n+1} \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ , because otherwise if  $x_n = x_{n+1}$  and  $y_n = y_{n+1}$  for some  $n$ , we could put  $\alpha = x_n$  and  $\beta = y_n$ .

denote

$$d_n = d(x_n, x_{n+1}), \quad \rho_n = \rho(y_n, y_{n+1}), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

By the inequality (2) for  $x = x_{n-1}$  and  $y = y_n$  we get :

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^m(y_n, y_{n+1}) &= \rho^m(Tx_{n-1}, TSy_n) \\ &\leq k\varphi_2(\rho(y_n, TSy_n), d(x_{n-1}, Sy_n)) \\ &\quad + F_2(\psi_2(\rho(y_n, TSy_n), d(x_{n-1}, Sy_n))) \\ \rho^m(y_n, y_{n+1}) &\leq k\varphi_2(\rho(y_n, y_{n+1}), d(x_{n-1}, x_n)) \\ &\quad + F_2(\psi_2(\rho(y_n, y_{n+1}), d(x_{n-1}, x_n))) \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_n^m &\leq k\varphi_2(\rho_n, d_{n-1}) + F_2(\psi_2(\rho_n, d_{n-1})) \\ &= k\varphi_2(\rho_n, d_{n-1}) \dots \dots \dots (3) \end{aligned}$$

For the coordinates of the point  $(\rho_n, d_{n-1})$  we have :

$\rho_n \leq d_{n-1}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ , because ; in case that

$\rho_n > d_{n-1}$  for some  $n$ , if we replace the coordinates with  $\rho_n$  and apply the property (a) and (b) of  $\varphi_2$  we get

$$\rho_n^m \leq k\varphi_2(\rho_n, \rho_n) \leq k\rho_n^m$$

This is impossible since  $0 \leq k < 1$ .

Replacing on the right hand side of (3), the coordinates with  $\rho_{n-1}$  and applying properties (a) and (b) of  $\varphi_2$  we get :

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_n^m &\leq k\varphi_2(d_{n-1}, d_{n-1}) \leq kd_{n-1}^m \\ \text{Thus } \rho_n &\leq \sqrt[m]{k} d_{n-1} \dots \dots (4) \end{aligned}$$

By the inequality (1) for  $x = x_n$  and  $y = y_n$  we get

$$d^m(x_n, x_{n+1}) = d^m(Sy_n, STx_n)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq k\varphi_1(d(x, STx), \rho(y, Tx)) \\ &\quad + F_1(\psi_1(d(x, STx), \rho(y, Tx))) \\ &\leq k\varphi_1[d(x_n, x_{n+1}), \rho(y_n, y_{n+1})] \\ &\quad + F_1(\psi_1(d(x_n, x_{n+1}), \rho(y_n, y_{n+1}))) \end{aligned}$$

Or

$$\begin{aligned} d_n^m &\leq k\varphi_1(d_n, \rho_n) + F_1(\psi_1(d_n, \rho_n)) \\ &= k\varphi_1(d_n, \rho_n) \end{aligned}$$

In similar, we get :

$$d_n^m \leq k\varphi_1(\rho_n, \rho_n) \leq k\rho_n^m$$

Thus we will obtain :

$$d_n \leq \sqrt[m]{k} \rho_n$$

By this inequality and (4) we get :

$$\begin{aligned} d_n &\leq \sqrt[m]{k} (\sqrt[m]{k} d_{n-1}) \\ &\leq \sqrt[m]{k} d_{n-1} \dots \dots (5) \end{aligned}$$

by the inequalities (4) and (5), using the mathematical induction, we obtain :

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &= d_n \leq q^{n-1} d_1 \\ \rho(y_n, y_{n+1}) &= \rho_n \leq q^{n-1} \rho_1 \text{ Where } q = \sqrt[m]{k} < 1 \end{aligned}$$

Thus the sequences  $(x_n)$  and  $(y_n)$  are Cauchy sequences, since the Metric space  $(X, d)$ ,  $(Y, \rho)$  complete metric spaces we have :

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \alpha \in X, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = \beta \in Y$$

by (1) for  $y = \beta$  and  $x = x_n$  we get :

$$\begin{aligned} d^m(S\beta, x_{n+1}) &\leq k\varphi_1(d(x_n, x_{n+1}), \rho(\beta, y_{n+1})) \\ &\quad + F_1(\psi_1(d(x_n, x_{n+1}), \rho(\beta, y_{n+1}))) \end{aligned}$$

letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get :

$$\begin{aligned} d^m(S\beta, \alpha) &\leq k\varphi_1(0, 0) + F(0) \\ &\leq k\varphi_1(0, 0) \end{aligned}$$

Or

$$d^m(S\beta, \alpha) = 0 \Leftrightarrow S\beta = \alpha \dots \dots (6)$$

by (2) for  $x = x_n$  and  $y = \beta$  we get :

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^m(y_{n+1}, TS\beta) &\leq k\varphi_2(\rho(\beta, TS\beta), d(x_n, S\beta)) \\ &\quad + F_2(\psi_2(\rho(\beta, TS\beta), d(x_n, S\beta))) \end{aligned}$$

letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and using (6) we get :

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^m(\beta, TS\beta) &\leq k\varphi_2(\rho(\beta, TS\beta), 0) + F(0) \\ \text{or} \end{aligned}$$

$$\rho^m(\beta, TS\beta) \leq k\rho^m(\beta, TS\beta) \Leftrightarrow TS\beta = \beta \dots (7)$$

by (6) and (7) it follows :

$$\begin{aligned} TS\beta &= T\alpha = \beta \\ ST\alpha &= S\beta = \alpha \end{aligned}$$

Thus we proved that the points  $\alpha, \beta$  are fixed points of  $ST$  and  $TS$  respectively.

**UNIQUENESS**

suppose that ST has a second distinct Fixed point  $\alpha'$  in X .

By (1) for  $x = \alpha'$  and  $y = T\alpha$  we get :

$$d^m(ST\alpha, ST\alpha') \leq k\varphi_1(d(\alpha', ST\alpha'), \rho(T\alpha, T\alpha')) + F_1(\psi_1(0, \rho(T\alpha, T\alpha')))$$

Or

$$d^m(\alpha, \alpha') \leq k\varphi_1(d(0, \rho(T\alpha, T\alpha')) + F_1(0)) \leq k\rho^m(T\alpha, T\alpha') \quad \dots \dots (8)$$

By (2) for  $x = \alpha'$  and  $y = T\alpha$  we obtain :

$$\rho^m(T\alpha', T\alpha) \leq k\varphi_2(\rho(T\alpha, T\alpha), d(\alpha', \alpha)) + F_2(\psi_2(0, d(\alpha, \alpha')))$$

or

$$\rho^m(T\alpha', T\alpha) \leq k\varphi_2(\rho(0, d(\alpha', \alpha))) \leq kd^m(\alpha', \alpha) \quad \dots \dots (9)$$

By (8) and (9) we get :

$$d^m(\alpha, \alpha') \leq k^2 d^m(\alpha, \alpha')$$

it follows

$$d(\alpha, \alpha') = 0$$

Thus we have again  $\alpha = \alpha'$  . in same way , it is proved the inequality of  $\beta$  ■

**Hence Proved**

**Theorem 3.2**

Let  $(X, d)$  ,  $(Y, \rho)$  be two complete metric spaces and  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  ,  $S : Y \rightarrow X$  two mappings . Let  $\emptyset \in \Phi_3^{(m)}$  ,  $\psi_i \in \Psi_3$  ,  $F_i \in f$  for  $i = 1, 2$  . if for some  $k \in [0, 1)$ , the following inequalities are satisfied :

$$d^m(Sy, STx) \leq k\varphi_1(d(x, Sy), d(x, STx), \rho(y, Tx), \frac{d(x, Sy) d(x, STx) \{1 + \rho(y, Tx)\}}{1 + d(x, Sy)}) + F_1(\psi_1(d(x, Sy), d(x, STx), \rho(y, Tx), \frac{d(x, Sy) d(x, STx) \{1 + \rho(y, Tx)\}}{1 + d(x, Sy)})) \quad \dots \dots (1)$$

$$\rho^m(Tx, TSy) \leq k\varphi_2(\rho(y, Tx), \rho(y, TSy), d(x, Sy), \frac{\rho(y, Tx) d(x, Sy) \{1 + \rho(y, TSy)\}}{1 + \rho(y, Tx)}) + F_2(\psi_2(\rho(y, Tx), \rho(y, TSy), d(x, Sy), \frac{\rho(y, Tx) d(x, Sy) \{1 + \rho(y, TSy)\}}{1 + \rho(y, Tx)})) \quad \dots \dots (2)$$

for all  $x \in X$  ,  $y \in Y$  and some  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ , then ST has a unique fixed point  $\alpha \in X$  and

TS has a unique fixed point  $\beta \in Y$  . Futher  $T\alpha \in \beta$  and

$$S\beta \in \alpha$$

**Proof :-** let  $x_0 \in X$  be an arbitrary point .

we define the sequences  $(x_n)$  and  $(y_n)$  in X and Y respectively as follows :

$$x_n = (ST)^n x_0, \quad y_n = Tx_{n-1}, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Let us proof that the sequences  $(x_n)$  and  $(y_n)$  are Cauchy sequences .

We assume that  $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$  and  $y_n \neq y_{n+1} \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$  ,

because otherwise if  $x_n = x_{n+1}$  and  $y_n = y_{n+1}$  for some n , we could put  $\alpha = x_n$  and  $\beta = y_n$  .

$$\text{denote } d_n = d(x_n, x_{n+1}), \quad \rho_n = \rho(y_n, y_{n+1}),$$

$$n = 1, 2, \dots$$

By the inequality (2) for  $x = x_{n-1}$  and  $y = y_n$  we get :

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^m(y_n, y_{n+1}) &= \rho^m(Tx_{n-1}, TSy_n) \\ &\leq k\varphi_2(\rho(y_n, Tx_{n-1}), \rho(y_n, TSy_n), d(x_{n-1}, Sy_n), \frac{\rho(y_n, Tx_{n-1}) d(x_{n-1}, Sy_n) \{1 + \rho(y, TSy_n)\}}{1 + \rho(y_n, Tx_{n-1})}) \\ &\quad + F_2(\psi_2(\rho(y_n, Tx_{n-1}), \rho(y_n, TSy_n), d(x_{n-1}, Sy_n), \frac{\rho(y_n, Tx_{n-1}) d(x_{n-1}, Sy_n) \{1 + \rho(y, TSy_n)\}}{1 + \rho(y_n, Tx_{n-1})})) \\ \rho^m(y_n, y_{n+1}) &\leq k\varphi_2(\rho(y_n, y_n), \rho(y_n, y_{n+1}), d(x_{n-1}, x_n), \frac{\rho(y_n, y_n) d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \{1 + \rho(y_n, y_{n+1})\}}{1 + \rho(y_n, y_n)}) \\ &\quad + F_2(\psi_2(\rho(y_n, y_n), \rho(y_n, y_{n+1}), d(x_{n-1}, x_n), \frac{\rho(y_n, y_n) d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \{1 + \rho(y_n, y_{n+1})\}}{1 + \rho(y_n, y_n)})) \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\rho_n^m \leq k\varphi_2(0, \rho_n, d_{n-1}, 0) + F_2(\psi_2(0, \rho_n, d_{n-1}, 0)) = k\varphi_2(0, \rho_n, d_{n-1}, 0) \quad \dots \dots (3)$$

For the coordinates of the point  $(\rho_n, d_{n-1})$  we have :  $\rho_n \leq d_{n-1}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ , because ; in case that

$\rho_n > d_{n-1}$  for some n , if we replace the coordinates with  $\rho_n$  and apply the property (a) and (b) of  $\varphi_2$  we get

$$\rho_n^m \leq k\varphi_2(\rho_n, \rho_n, \rho_n, \rho_n) \leq k\rho_n^m$$

This is impossible since  $0 \leq k < 1$  .

Replacing on the right hand side of (3) , the coordinates with  $\rho_{n-1}$  and applying properties (a) and (b) of  $\varphi_2$  we get :

$$\rho_n^m \leq k\varphi_2(d_{n-1}, d_{n-1}, d_{n-1}, d_{n-1}) \leq kd_{n-1}^m$$

Thus

$$\rho_n \leq \sqrt[m]{k} d_{n-1} \quad \dots \dots (4)$$

By the inequality (1) for  $x = x_n$  and  $y = y_n$  we get

$$d^m(x_n, x_{n+1}) = d^m(Sy_n, STx_n)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq k\varphi_1(d(x_n, Sy_n), d(x_n, STx_n), \rho(y_n, Tx_n), \\
&\quad \frac{d(x_n, Sy_n) d(x_n, STx_n) \{1 + \rho(y_n, Tx_n)\}}{1 + d(x_n, Sy_n)}) \\
&\quad + F_1(\psi_1(d(x_n, Sy_n), d(x_n, STx_n), \rho(y_n, Tx_n), \\
&\quad \frac{d(x_n, Sy_n) d(x_n, STx_n) \{1 + \rho(y_n, Tx_n)\}}{1 + d(x_n, Sy_n)})) \\
&\leq k\varphi_1(d(x_n, x_n), d(x_n, x_{n+1}), \rho(y_n, y_{n+1}), \\
&\quad \frac{d(x_n, x_n) d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \{1 + \rho(y_n, y_{n+1})\}}{1 + d(x_n, x_n)}) \\
&\quad + F_1(\psi_1(d(x_n, x_n), d(x_n, x_{n+1}), \rho(y_n, y_{n+1}), \\
&\quad \frac{d(x_n, x_n) d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \{1 + \rho(y_n, y_{n+1})\}}{1 + d(x_n, x_n)}))
\end{aligned}$$

Or

$$\begin{aligned}
d_n^m &\leq k\varphi_1(0, d_n, \rho_n, 0) + F_1(\psi_1(0, d_n, \rho_n, 0)) \\
&= k\varphi_1(0, d_n, \rho_n, 0)
\end{aligned}$$

In similar, we get :

$$d_n^m \leq k\varphi_1(\rho_n, \rho_n, \rho_n, \rho_n) \leq k\rho_n^m$$

Thus we will obtain :

$$d_n \leq \sqrt[m]{k} \rho_n$$

By this inequality and (4) we get :

$$d_n \leq \sqrt[m]{k} (\sqrt[m]{k} d_{n-1}) \leq \sqrt[m]{k} d_{n-1} \dots\dots\dots (5)$$

by the inequalities (4) and (5), using the mathematical induction, we obtain :

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = d_n \leq q^{n-1} d_1$$

$$\rho(y_n, y_{n+1}) = \rho_n \leq q^{n-1} d_1$$

$$\text{Where } q = \sqrt[m]{k} < 1$$

Thus the sequences  $(x_n)$  and  $(y_n)$  are Cauchy sequences, since the Metric space  $(X, d)$  and  $(Y, \rho)$  complete metric spaces we have :

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \alpha \in X, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = \beta \in Y$$

by (1) for  $y = \beta$  and  $x = x_n$  we get :

$$\begin{aligned}
d^m(S\beta, x_{n+1}) &\leq k\varphi_1(d(x_n, S\beta), d(x_n, x_{n+1}), \rho(\beta, y_{n+1}), \\
&\quad \frac{d(x_n, S\beta) d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \{1 + \rho(\beta, y_{n+1})\}}{1 + d(x_n, S\beta)}) \\
&\quad + F_1(\psi_1(d(x_n, S\beta), d(x_n, x_{n+1}), \rho(\beta, y_{n+1}), \\
&\quad \frac{d(x_n, S\beta) d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \{1 + \rho(\beta, y_{n+1})\}}{1 + d(x_n, S\beta)}))
\end{aligned}$$

letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get :

$$\begin{aligned}
d^m(S\beta, \alpha) &\leq k\varphi_1(d(\alpha, S\beta), 0, 0, 0) + F(0) \\
&\leq k\varphi_1(d(\alpha, S\beta), 0, 0, 0) \leq kd^m(\alpha, S\beta)
\end{aligned}$$

Or

$$d^m(S\beta, \alpha) = 0 \Leftrightarrow S\beta = \alpha \dots\dots\dots (6)$$

by (2) for  $x = x_n$  and  $y = \beta$  we get :

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho^m(y_{n+1}, TS\beta) &\leq k\varphi_2(\rho(\beta, Tx_n), \rho(\beta, TS\beta), d(x_n, S\beta), \\
&\quad \frac{\rho(\beta, Tx_n) d(x_n, S\beta) \{1 + \rho(\beta, TS\beta)\}}{1 + \rho(\beta, Tx_n)}) \\
&\quad + F_2(\psi_2(\rho(\beta, Tx_n), \rho(\beta, TS\beta), d(x_n, S\beta), \\
&\quad \frac{\rho(\beta, Tx_n) d(x_n, S\beta) \{1 + \rho(\beta, TS\beta)\}}{1 + \rho(\beta, Tx_n)})) \\
\rho^m(y_{n+1}, TS\beta) &\leq k\varphi_2(\rho(\beta, y_{n+1}), \rho(\beta, TS\beta), d(x_n, S\beta), \\
&\quad \frac{\rho(\beta, y_{n+1}) d(x_n, S\beta) \{1 + \rho(\beta, TS\beta)\}}{1 + \rho(\beta, y_{n+1})}) \\
&\quad + F_2(\psi_2(\rho(\beta, y_{n+1}), \rho(\beta, TS\beta), d(x_n, S\beta), \\
&\quad \frac{\rho(\beta, y_{n+1}) d(x_n, S\beta) \{1 + \rho(\beta, TS\beta)\}}{1 + \rho(\beta, y_{n+1})}))
\end{aligned}$$

letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and using (6) we get :

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho^m(\beta, TS\beta) &\leq k\varphi_2(0, \rho(\beta, TS\beta), 0, 0) + F(0) \\
&\text{or}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho^m(\beta, TS\beta) &\leq k\rho^m(\beta, TS\beta) \Leftrightarrow TS\beta = \beta \\
&\dots\dots\dots (7)
\end{aligned}$$

by (6) and (7) it follows :

$$TS\beta = T\alpha = \beta$$

$$ST\alpha = S\beta = \alpha$$

Thus we proved that the points  $\alpha, \beta$  are fixed points of ST and TS respectively.

## UNIQUENESS

suppose that ST has a second distinct fixed point  $\alpha'$  in X.

By (1) for  $x = \alpha'$  and  $y = T\alpha$  we get :

$$\begin{aligned}
d^m(ST\alpha, ST\alpha') &\leq k\varphi_1(d(\alpha', ST\alpha), d(\alpha', ST\alpha'), \rho(T\alpha, T\alpha'), \\
&\quad \frac{d(\alpha', ST\alpha) d(\alpha', ST\alpha') \{1 + \rho(T\alpha, T\alpha')\}}{1 + d(\alpha', ST\alpha)}) \\
&\quad + F_1(\psi_1(d(\alpha', ST\alpha), d(\alpha', ST\alpha'), \rho(T\alpha, T\alpha'), \\
&\quad \frac{d(\alpha', ST\alpha) d(\alpha', ST\alpha') \{1 + \rho(T\alpha, T\alpha')\}}{1 + d(\alpha', ST\alpha)})) \\
d^m(ST\alpha, ST\alpha') &\leq k\varphi_1(d(\alpha', \alpha), 0, \rho(T\alpha, T\alpha'), 0) \\
&\quad + F_1(\psi_1(d(\alpha', \alpha), 0, \rho(T\alpha, T\alpha'), 0))
\end{aligned}$$

Or

$$\begin{aligned}
d^m(\alpha, \alpha') &\leq k\varphi_1(d(\alpha', \alpha), 0, \rho(T\alpha, T\alpha'), 0) \\
&\quad + F_1(0) \\
&\leq k\rho^m(T\alpha, T\alpha') \dots\dots\dots (8)
\end{aligned}$$

By (2) for  $x = \alpha'$  and  $y = T\alpha$  we obtain :

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho^m(T\alpha', T\alpha) &\leq k\varphi_2(\rho(T\alpha, T\alpha'), \rho(T\alpha, T\alpha), d(\alpha', \alpha), \\
&\quad \frac{\rho(T\alpha, T\alpha') d(\alpha', \alpha) \{1 + \rho(T\alpha, T\alpha)\}}{1 + \rho(T\alpha, T\alpha')}) \\
&\quad + F_2(\psi_2(\rho(T\alpha, T\alpha'), \rho(T\alpha, T\alpha), d(\alpha', \alpha), \\
&\quad \frac{\rho(T\alpha, T\alpha') d(\alpha', \alpha) \{1 + \rho(T\alpha, T\alpha)\}}{1 + \rho(T\alpha, T\alpha')})) \\
\rho^m(T\alpha', T\alpha) &\leq k\varphi_2(\rho(T\alpha, T\alpha'), 0, d(\alpha', \alpha), \\
&\quad \frac{\rho(T\alpha, T\alpha') d(\alpha', \alpha) \{1 + 0\}}{1 + \rho(T\alpha, T\alpha')}) \\
&\quad + F_2(\psi_2(\rho(T\alpha, T\alpha'), 0, d(\alpha', \alpha), \\
&\quad \frac{\rho(T\alpha, T\alpha') d(\alpha', \alpha) \{1 + 0\}}{1 + \rho(T\alpha, T\alpha')}))
\end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho^m(T\alpha', T\alpha) &\leq k\varphi_2\left(\rho(T\alpha', T\alpha), 0, d(\alpha, \alpha')\right), \\
 &\quad \frac{\rho(T\alpha, T\alpha')d(\alpha', \alpha)}{1+\rho(T\alpha, T\alpha')} \\
 &\quad + F_2(0) \\
 &\leq kd^m(\alpha, \alpha')\rho^m(T\alpha', T\alpha) \\
 &\leq k\varphi_2\left(\rho(T\alpha', T\alpha), 0, d(\alpha, \alpha')\right), \\
 &\quad \frac{\rho(T\alpha, T\alpha')d(\alpha', \alpha)}{1+\rho(T\alpha, T\alpha')} \\
 &\leq kd^m(\alpha, \alpha') \\
 &\quad \dots\dots\dots(9)
 \end{aligned}$$

By (8) and (9) we get :

$$d^m(\alpha, \alpha') \leq k^2 d^m(\alpha, \alpha')$$

it follows

$d(\alpha, \alpha') = 0$  Thus we have again  $\alpha = \alpha'$ . in same way, it is proved the inequality of  $\beta$  ■

**Hence Proved**

---

## References

- [1] B.Fisher, Fixed point on two metrics spaces, Glasnik Mathem. 16(36)(1981), 333-337.
- [2] S.Č.Nešić, A fixed point theorem in two metrics spaces, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie, Tome 44(94)(2001) No.3, 253-257.
- [3] V.Popa, Fixed points on two complete metrics spaces, Zb. Rad. Prirod.-Mat. Fak. Sez. Mat. 21, 1(1991), 83-93.